UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,427	12/12/2003	Sundaram Ravikumar	RAV-002 CIP	3870
	7590 03/14/200 ACOBSON, P.C.	EXAMINER		
60 LONG RIDGE ROAD			DEMILLE, DANTON D	
SUITE 407 STAMFORD, (CT 06902		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,427	RAVIKUMAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Danton DeMille	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 a This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-5, 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dakin et al in view of Grove et al.

Dakin teaches, for example, in figure 9 an active dynamic system for improving foot mobility for therapeutic massage of the foot including a base 222, a foot rest 220 hingedly coupled 224 to the base 222, an inflatable lifting bladder 214, 216 between the base 222 and the foot rest 220, a plurality of foot massage bladders coupled to the foot rest including at least one top bladder 742 spaced apart from and above at least one bottom bladder 744 and a pressure source 914 selectively coupled to each of the bladders. Dakin teaches column 4, lines 35-46, that the compression bags may be held against the body part by a nylon jacket that may include a zipper and plastic stays to increase rigidity or be made out of rigid, inflexible material to provide structural support. While it is not clear if the nylon jacket is coupled to the foot rest 220 such would have been an obvious provision to secure the foot in place on the foot rest. Grove teaches strap 16 to secure the foot and any sock to the foot rest. A foot with the nylon jacket or sock thereon would be coupled to the foot plate with the strap as taught by Grove. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Dakin to couple the nylon jacket or sock and foot to the foot rest as taught by Grove to prevent relative movement of the foot to the foot rest.

Regarding claim 4, Dakin figure 5 would appear to teach the compression bags to be "disposed adjacent the heal(sic) of the foot".

Regarding claim 5, Dakin teaches at least one heel bladder as shown in figure 5, at least one instep bladder 742 and at least one sole bladder 744.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,427 Page 3

Art Unit: 3771

Claims 6-11, 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Roth et al.

Dakin teaches a pressure source for providing a dynamic, cyclical pressure by an electric pump 914. This is provided to create the desired oscillatory pressure. Also is taught a controller 920 for controlling the operation of the device. Dakin teaches individual bladders 742 and 744 and 728. There appears to be no unobviousness to control each of the bladders individually. This would provide better control over the inflation and applying pressure to specific areas of the body. Roth teaches such a convention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify Dakin to control each bladder individually as taught by Roth to better time the sequence of inflation to accommodate the requirements of each individual patient.

Regarding claims 10 and 11, sensors are conventional to be able to monitor the pressure with a pressurized bladder and would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danton DeMille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4974. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 6:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Application/Control Number: 10/734,427

Art Unit: 3771

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

14 March 2008

/Danton DeMille/ Danton DeMille Primary Examiner Art Unit 3771 Page 4